

1 Brian C. Rocca, S.B. #221576
brian.rocca@morganlewis.com
2 Sujal J. Shah, S.B. #215230
sujal.shah@morganlewis.com
3 Michelle Park Chiu, S.B. #248421
michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com
4 Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B. #259005
minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com
5 Rishi P. Satia, S.B. #301958
rishi.satia@morganlewis.com
6 **MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 442-1000
7
8 Richard S. Taffet, *pro hac vice*
richard.taffet@morganlewis.com
9 **MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178
11 Telephone: (212) 309-6000

12 *Counsel for Defendants Google LLC, et al.*

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Glenn D. Pomerantz, S.B. #112503
glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
Kuruvilla Olasa, S.B. #281509
kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com
Nicholas R. Sidney, S.B. #308080
nick.sidney@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 683-9100
Kyle W. Mach, S.B. #282090
kyle.mach@mto.com
Justin P. Raphael, S.B. #292380
justin.raphael@mto.com
Emily C. Curran-Huberty, S.B. #293065
emily.curran-huberty@mto.com
Dane P. Shikman, S.B. #313656
dane.shikman@mto.com
Rebecca L. Sciarrino, S.B. # 336729
rebecca.sciarrino@mto.com
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
560 Mission Street, Twenty Seventh Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 512-4000

Jonathan I. Kravis, *pro hac vice*

jonathan.kravis@mto.com

Lauren Bell, *pro hac vice*

lauren.bell@mto.com

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E

Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 220-1100

Neal Kumar Katyal, *pro hac vice*

neal.katyal@hoganlovells.com

Jessica L. Ellsworth, *pro hac vice*

jessica.ellsworth@hoganlovells.com

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

555 Thirteenth Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: (202) 637-5600

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE GOOGLE PLAY CONSUMER ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,
Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD

Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.,
Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

**DECLARATION OF DANE P. SHIKMAN
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION PURSUANT TO RULE 37(c)(1)
TO EXCLUDE TRIAL TESTIMONY BY
CARSON OLIVER**

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

Judge: Hon. James Donato

DECLARATION OF DANE P. SHIKMAN

I, Dane P. Shikman, hereby declare:

3 1. I am admitted to practice before all of the courts of the State of California and this
4 Court. I am an attorney at the law firm of Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP (“MTO”) and counsel of
5 record for Defendants (“Google”) in the above-captioned matter. I have personal knowledge of
6 the facts set forth in this declaration, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify
7 competently to the matters set forth herein.

Google's Negotiations with Apple

9 2. Counsel for Google contacted Apple’s outside counsel on June 9, 2023, to discuss
10 the possibility of Apple identifying a witness who would agree to appear at trial in this case. In
11 the three months that followed, Google counsel had numerous conversations with Apple’s outside
12 counsel discussing whether Apple would voluntarily identify an Apple employee who would agree
13 to testify at trial, and who that witness would be. Such conversations took place on, at least, June
14 12, July 6, July 20, and August 10, 2023. I also understand that Google’s in-house counsel had
15 multiple subsequent conversations with Apple’s in-house counsel on this same subject. However,
16 on September 11, 2023, Apple’s in-house counsel definitively confirmed to Google’s in-house
17 counsel that Apple would not voluntarily identify or produce an Apple employee to testify at trial.

Google's Disclosure of Mr. Oliver to Plaintiffs

19 3. The next day, September 12, Google disclosed Carson Oliver (Senior Director,
20 Business Management, App Store, Apple) to Plaintiffs on Google's third witness list. The parties
21 had negotiated and agreed that September 12, the third and final witness list exchange, would be
22 the last date to add witnesses to their respective witness lists.

23 4. The parties also had previously negotiated a deadline to exchange descriptions of
24 the substance of testimony for witnesses appearing on each side’s witness lists. The parties’
25 agreed-upon date for that exchange was September 29, and Google exchanged its descriptions on
26 that date. Google’s September 29 disclosure stated that Mr. Oliver would testify about the
27 following: “Competition between the Apple App Store and the Google Play store.”

Standard Practice in This Litigation

2 5. In late December 2021, the parties negotiated and stipulated to a deposition
3 protocol for this case. MDL ECF No. 171. Anticipating that some individuals may testify at trial
4 whom the parties had not yet deposed during discovery, the parties agreed on a provision that
5 would permit the pre-trial deposition of a not-yet-deposed individual who appeared on a pre-trial
6 witness list. Specifically, the stipulation states: “The Parties agree that any individual identified
7 by any opposing Party as a trial witness who has not already been deposed shall be made available
8 for Deposition by the opposing Party reasonably in advance of trial.” *Id.* ¶ 22.

9 6. In light of this provision, all parties in this litigation have served initial disclosures
10 listing third-party companies only at the entity level, and not listing individual employees of those
11 companies.¹ All parties identified Apple at the entity level in their disclosures. Epic served
12 amended initial disclosures identifying third parties companies only at the entity level as recently
13 as July 2023.

14 7. Consistent with this practice, Plaintiffs identified third party individuals on their
15 pre-trial witness list whom Plaintiffs did not list on their initial disclosures, and whom Google had
16 not yet deposed. Specifically, Plaintiffs identified witnesses from Yoga Buddhi and Paddle who
17 never appeared on any initial disclosures. Google did not complain, because the parties negotiated
18 the pre-trial deposition stipulation, MDL ECF No. 171 ¶ 22, to prevent any prejudice in this
19 circumstance. Since the Plaintiffs' disclosure of these individuals, Google deposed Ben Simon of
20 Yoga Buddhi on September 19, 2023 and is in the process of scheduling the deposition of
21 Christian Owen of Paddle.

Discovery Relating to Mr. Oliver in This Case

23 8. In May 2021, Plaintiffs served a document subpoena on Apple. In the summer of
24 2022, Google received rolling productions from Apple totaling 200,000 documents. In the
25 transmission letter, Apple’s counsel informed us that these productions were pursuant to

²⁷ ²⁸ ¹ The only minor exception to this practice is the State Plaintiffs' initial disclosures, which include certain individuals for a very small fraction of the entities listed. Epic also listed a writer and editor from a news outlet, but otherwise listed 100 companies only at the entity level.

1 negotiations and an agreement with Plaintiffs in this case, and consisted of a subset of the
 2 documents produced by Apple in the *Epic v. Apple* and related class litigations. These productions
 3 contained roughly 13,400 of Carson Oliver's custodial documents.

4 **Epic's Prior Discovery Relating to Mr. Oliver**

5 9. In the *Epic v. Apple* litigation, Lauren Moskowitz of Cravath, Swaine & Moore
 6 LLP (counsel for Epic in that case, and for Epic in this litigation) filed a discovery letter that Epic
 7 sent to Apple, declaring that Epic "intend[s] to depose (or have deposed) the following 16 Apple
 8 witnesses," and included Carson Oliver in that list. *Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.*, No 4:20-cv-
 9 05640-YGR, ECF No. 327 at 4.

10 10. In the same litigation, Epic filed a pre-trial witness list designating Mr. Oliver's
 11 deposition testimony at trial. No 4:20-cv-05640-YGR, ECF No. 488 at 9.

12 **Illustrative Oliver Documents Produced in This Case**

13 11. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is a true and accurate copy of a document that Apple
 14 produced in this litigation, bearing the Bates number APL-Goog_01320727. This is a presentation
 15 deck entitled [REDACTED]
 16 [REDACTED] See, e.g., -0735. Mr. Oliver is listed in the document
 17 metadata as a custodian.

18 12. Attached as **Exhibit 2** is a true and accurate copy of a document that Apple
 19 produced in this litigation, bearing the Bates number APL-Goog_00361212. This is an email from
 20 another Apple executive to Mr. Oliver asking him to [REDACTED]
 21 [REDACTED]

22 13. Attached as **Exhibit 3** is a true and accurate copy of a document that Apple
 23 produced in this litigation, bearing the Bates number APL-Goog_00365515. This is an email from
 24 Mr. Oliver to another Apple executive, in which Mr. Oliver [REDACTED]
 25 [REDACTED]

26 [REDACTED] Attached as **Exhibit 4** is a true and accurate copy of a document that Apple
 27 produced in this litigation, bearing the Bates number APL-Goog_00898564. This is an email from
 28 another Apple executive to Mr. Oliver [REDACTED]

15. Attached as **Exhibit 5** is a true and accurate copy of a document that Apple produced in this litigation, bearing the Bates number APL-Goog_01150054. This is an email, copying Mr. Oliver, from an Apple employee [REDACTED]

16. Attached as **Exhibit 6** is a true and accurate copy of a document that Apple produced in this litigation, bearing the Bates number APL-Goog_01323287. This is an email in which another Apple employee sends to Mr. Oliver [REDACTED]

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 4th day of October, 2023, at San Francisco, California.

s/ Dane P. Shikman
Dane P. Shikman

1 **E-FILING ATTESTATION**

2 I, Glenn D. Pomerantz, am the ECF User whose ID and password ae being used to file this
3 document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 6-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the
4 signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

5 _____
6 */s/Glenn D. Pomerantz*

7 Glenn D. Pomerantz

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28